

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/627,140	Applicant(s) PIOTROWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner R. James Balls	Art Unit 1625	

All Participants:

(1) R. James Balls.

(2) Eileen M. Ebel.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Claim 6

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner called to fix Claim 6, which was improperly dependent upon a cancelled claim 5. Ms. Eble indicated that Claim 6 should be amended to depend on Claim 4.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)